## Generalized quantum asymptotic equipartition and applications

#### **Kun FANG**

Joint work with Hamza Fawzi and Omar Fawzi



香港中文大學(深圳) The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



#### arXiv: 2411.04035 & 2502.15659





QIP 2025, Raleigh, February 2025

# What is "asymptotic equipartition"?

### **Asymptotic equipartition property (AEP)**

A form of the law of large numbers in information theory

AEP or Shannon-MacMillan-Breiman theorem

Given i.i.d. random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , the probability  $p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  satisfies 1

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log p(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) \longrightarrow H$$

I(X)in probability

ELEMENTS OF





Bit strings of length *n* 

# What is "asymptotic equipartition"?

#### **Typical set v.s. Non-typical set**

Size of the typical set is nearly  $2^{nH(X)}$ The typical set has probability nearly 1 Elements in the typical set are nearly **equiprobable** 

Lie in the heart of information theory: data compression, channel coding, cryptography...

# More generic form of AEP in divergences



# More generic form of AEP in divergences

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{P}^{\otimes n} || \mathbb{Q}^{\otimes n}) = D(\mathbb{P} || \mathbb{Q})$$

**Shannon-McMillan-Breiman theorem:** 

 $H_{\rm max}$ : the size of the typical set &  $H_{\rm min}$ : the distribution is uniform on the typical set

#### **Chernoff-Stein Lemma**:

 $D = D_H$  hypothesis testing relative entropy

 $D = H_{min}$  or  $H_{max}$ , Q = 1 constant function e.g. [Tomamichel, Colbeck, Renner 2009]



$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\rho^{\otimes n} || \sigma^{\otimes n}) = D(\rho || \sigma)$$

- Hiai and Petz 1991:  $\mathbb{D} = D_H$
- •Ogawa and Nagaoka 2000: remove  $\varepsilon$ -dependence in the outer limit
- Tomamichel, Colbeck, Renner 2009:  $\sigma_{AB} = I_A \otimes \rho_B$ ,  $H_{\min}(A \mid B)$  and  $H_{\max}(A \mid B)$
- Tomamichel, Hayashi 2013:  $D = D_{max}$  .....

**Many applications:** quantum data compression, quantum state merging, quantum channel coding, quantum cryptography, and quantum resource theory...

bendence in the outer limit P Stein's lemma =  $I_A \otimes \rho_B$ ,  $H_{\min}(A \mid B)$  and  $H_{\max}(A \mid B)$ 

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\rho^{\bigotimes})$$



$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\rho^{\otimes n} || \sigma^{\otimes n}) = D(\rho || \sigma)$$
  
Limited to singleton and i.i.d. structure

#### What if?

**Correlation**: beyond i.i.d. source  $\rho_n$ **Uncertainty:** not singleton  $\rho_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ 

$$a \neq \rho^{\otimes n}, \sigma_n \neq \sigma^{\otimes n}$$
  $\sigma_n = \mathcal{B}_n$  e.g. composite hypothesis

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\rho^{\otimes n} \| \sigma^{\otimes n}) = D(\rho \| \sigma)$$

#### What if?

**Correlation: beyond i.i.d.** source  $\rho_n \neq \rho^{\otimes n}$ ,  $\sigma_n \neq \sigma^{\otimes n}$ **Uncertainty: not singleton**  $\rho_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ 

#### Practical motivations in the classical setting e.g. [Levitan and Nerhav 2002, TIT]

Classification with training sequences (e.g. speech recognition, signal detection) Detection of messages via unknown channels (e.g. radar target detection, watermark detection)





13



A very general framework that contains almost all existing quantum AEP in the literature Including the generalized quantum Stein's lemma, where  $\mathscr{A}_n = \{\rho^{\otimes n}\}$  and  $\mathscr{B}_n$  a set of quantum states

$$(\mathscr{A}_n \| \mathscr{B}_n) = ?$$

#### Long Plenary 2 by Hayashi and Yamasaki & Short Plenary 3 by Lami, Berta, Regula







#### **Generality (divergence):**

two extreme cases  $\mathbb{D} \in \{D_H, D_{\max}\}$ any divergence in between or equivalent, yield the same result



#### **Generality (sets):**

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;

(A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant;

Polar set  $\mathscr{C}^{\circ} := \{X : \langle X, Y \rangle \leq 1, \forall Y \in \mathscr{C}\}$ 

(A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;



#### **Generality (sets):**

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;

(A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant;

Sets	Mathematical descriptions
Singleton	$\{ ho^{\otimes n}\}$ with $ ho\in\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{H})$
Conditional states	$\{I_n\otimes  ho_n: ho_n\in \mathscr{D}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})\}$
Channel image	$ig  \left\{ \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}( ho_n): ho_n\in\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{H}^n) ight\}$ w
Recovery set	$\left\{\mathcal{N}_{B^n \to C^n}(\rho_{AB}^{\otimes n}) : \mathcal{N} \in \operatorname{CPTI} ight\}$
Extensions set	$\left\{ \omega_n \in \mathscr{D}(A^nB^n) : \operatorname{Tr}_{B^n} \omega_n =  ight\}$
Incoherent states	$\{\rho_n \in \mathscr{D}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) : \rho_n = \Delta(\rho_n)\}$
Rains set	$\left  \left\{ \rho_n \in \mathscr{H}_+(A^n B^n) : \  \rho_n^{T_{B_1 \cdots B}} \right. \right.$
Nonpositive mana	$\Big  \{\rho_n \in \mathscr{H}_+(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) : \ \rho_n\ _{W,1} \leq$

#### $\lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{A}_n \| \mathcal{B}_n) = D^{\infty} (\mathcal{A} \| \mathcal{B})$

Polar set  $\mathscr{C}^{\circ} := \{X : \langle X, Y \rangle \leq 1, \forall Y \in \mathscr{C}\}$ (A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \bigotimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \bigotimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

with a quantum channel  $\mathcal{N}$   $P(B^n : C^n)$  with  $\rho \in \mathscr{D}(AB)$   $= \rho_A^{\otimes n}$  with  $\rho_A \in \mathscr{D}(A)$   $\{A_i\}$  with the completely dephasing channel  $\Delta$   $\{B_n\}_{i=1}^{3n} \|_1 \leq 1$  with the partial transpose  $\mathsf{T}_{B_i}$  $\leq 1$  with the Wigner trace norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W,1}$ 



#### **Generality (sets):**

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;

(A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant;

More importantly, without (A.4), the AEP does not hold in general.

Counterexamples e.g.

Polar set  $\mathscr{C}^{\circ} := \{X : \langle X, Y \rangle \leq 1, \forall Y \in \mathscr{C}\}$ 

(A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

#### arXiv: 2501.09303v2 by Hayashi & arXiv: 2408.07067 by Lami, Berta, Regula





Regularization instead of single-letter formula. But it can estimated by

 $\frac{1}{m} D_M(\mathscr{A}_m \| \mathscr{B}_m) \leq D'$ 

with explicit convergence guarantee

 $\frac{1}{m} D(\mathscr{A}_m \| \mathscr{B}_m) - \frac{1}{m} D_M(\mathscr{A}$ 

relative entropy program of polynomial size. [arXiv: 2502.15659]

$$\mathcal{O}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A} \| \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{1}{m} D(\mathcal{A}_m \| \mathcal{B}_m)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_m \| \mathcal{B}_m) \le \frac{1}{m} 2(d^2 + d) \log(m + d)$$

# Efficiently approximate $D^{\infty}(\mathscr{A} || \mathscr{B})$ within an additive error by a quantum

Our answer  $n \rightarrow \infty \ \mathcal{N}$ 

### **Explicit finite** *n* **estimate**:

making its convergence controllable; a rare case in QIT

Leading term independent of  $\varepsilon$  (strong converse property)

 $\lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{A}_{n} || \mathcal{B}_{n}) = D^{\infty}(\mathcal{A} || \mathcal{B})$ 

### $nD^{\infty}(\mathscr{A}||\mathscr{B}) - O(n^{2/3}\log n) \le \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}_{n}||\mathscr{B}_{n}) \le nD^{\infty}(\mathscr{A}||\mathscr{B}) + O(n^{2/3}\log n)$

# Leading term is regularized, but still provide an explicit estimate for finite n,

The second order in  $O(n^{2/3} \log n)$  instead of  $O(\sqrt{n})$ , potential improvement exists

### Key technical tools

Measured relative entropy  $D_M(\rho \| \sigma) := \sup_M D(P_{\rho,M} \| P_{\sigma,M})$ 

Superadditivity  $D_M(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \| \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) \ge D_M(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$ 

Subadditivity

Suppose  $\mathscr{A}_1 \otimes \mathscr{A}_2 \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathscr{B}_1 \otimes \mathscr{B}_2 \subseteq \mathscr{B}_{12}$  $D_{S,\alpha}(\mathscr{A}_{12} \| \mathscr{B}_{12}) \leq D_{S,\alpha}(\mathscr{A}_1 \| \mathscr{B}_1) + D_{S,\alpha}(\mathscr{A}_2 \| \mathscr{B}_2)$ 

Superadditivity

Suppose  $(\mathscr{A}_1)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_2)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{12})^{\circ}_+$  and  $(\mathscr{B}_1)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{B}_2)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{B}_{12})^{\circ}_+$ 

 $D_{M,\alpha}(\mathcal{A}_{12} \| \mathcal{B}_{12}) \geq D_{M,\alpha}(\mathcal{A}_1 \| \mathcal{B}_1) + D_{M,\alpha}(\mathcal{A}_2 \| \mathcal{B}_2)$ 

$$\|\sigma_1) + D_M(\rho_2 \|\sigma_2)$$

$$D(\rho \| \sigma) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_M(\rho^{\otimes n} \| \sigma^{\otimes n})$$





# **Recap: from AEP to generalized quantum AEP** AEP Quantum Generalized



# Applications

**1. Quantum hypothesis testing between two sets of states** 

### 2. Adversarial quantum channel discrimination

3. A relative entropy accumulation theorem

4. Efficient bounds for quantum resource theory

### **Application 1: Quantum hypothesis testing between two sets of states**

A tester draws samples from two sets of quantum states, and performs measurements to determine which set the sample belongs to.



As in standard hypothesis testing, the tester will make two types of errors:

**Type-I error**: sample from  $\mathscr{A}_n$ , but classified as from  $\mathscr{B}_n$ , **Type-II error**: sample from  $\mathscr{B}_n$ , but classified as from  $\mathscr{A}_n$ .

25



### **Application 1: Quantum hypothesis testing between two sets of states**

A tester draws samples from two sets of quantum states, and performs measurements to determine which set the sample belongs to.



**Goal**: Determine the optimal exponent at which the type-II error probability decays, while keeping the type-I error within a fixed threshold  $\varepsilon$  (to control over false positives)

$$\beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}_{n} \| \mathscr{B}_{n}) := \inf_{0 \le M_{n} \le I} \left\{ \beta(\mathscr{B}_{n}, M_{n}) : \alpha(\mathscr{A}_{n}, M_{n}) \le \varepsilon \right\} \qquad \beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}_{n} \| \mathscr{B}_{n}) \approx ?$$

e.g. COVID-19: healthy people get a positive test





#### **Application 1: Quantum hypothesis testing between two sets of states**



$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A})$$



Long Plenary 2 by Hayashi and Yamasaki & Short Plenary 3 by Lami, Berta, Regula

#### $V_n \| \mathscr{B}_n \rangle = D^{\infty}(\mathscr{A} \| \mathscr{B}) \qquad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,1)$

s Lemma (
$$\mathscr{A}_n = \{\rho^{\otimes n}\}$$
)





However, an issue has recently been found in the claimed proof of the generalised quantum Stein's lemma in [BP10a]. Specifically, after the appearance of the first version of the preprint [FGW21] that studied a related setting using the methods of [BP10a], one of us identified a mistake in [FGW21, Lemma 16], which then led to the discovery that the original result [BP10a, Lemma III.9] is incorrect. This means that the main claims of [BP10a], and in particular the generalised quantum Stein's lemma introduced therein, are not known to be correct, and the validity of a number of results that build on those findings is thus directly put into question.



of of the generalised quane of the first version of the [BP10a], one of us identified at the original result [BP10a, a], and in particular the geno be correct, and the validity at into question.

### Generalized Quantum Stein's Lemma ( $\mathscr{A}_n = \{\rho^{\otimes n}\}$ )

- (A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;
- (A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant;
- (A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (A.5)  $\mathscr{A}_1$  contains a full-rank state
- (A.6) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is closed under partial traces
- (A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;



Our result is incomparable to the previous generalized quantum Stein lemma. Weaker: assume (A.4) for  $\mathscr{B}_n$ 

**Stronger: 1. composite null hypothesis**  $\mathscr{A}_n$  instead of  $\rho^{\otimes n}$ 

**2.** efficient and controlled approximations of the Stein's exponent  $D^{\infty}(\mathscr{A} \| \mathscr{B})$ solves open problems

in [Brandão, Harrow, Lee, Peres, 2020, TIT] and [Mosonyi, Szilagyi, Weiner, 2022, TIT] 30

### Generalized Quantum Stein's Lemma ( $\mathscr{A}_n = \{\rho^{\otimes n}\}$ )

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;

(A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant;

(A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(A.5)  $\mathscr{A}_1$  contains a full-rank state

(A.6) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is closed under partial traces

(A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

### Application 1': Quantum resource theory and its reversibility



#### **Standard resource manipulation**

Asymptotic resource nongenerating operations [Brandão and Plenio, 2010]



a.k.a, second law



#### **Resource manipulation with partial information**

Lack of knowledge of the states Different copies of the sources can exhibit correlation in nature



 $D^{\infty}(\mathscr{B} \| \mathscr{F})$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathscr{F}}$  is the set of free states

#### **Operational setting:**

- A tester is working with an **untrusted** quantum device that generates a quantum state upon request
- Guarantee: either  $\mathcal{N}$  (the bad case) or  $\mathcal{M}$  (the good case)









Request samples Perform measurement Make a guess

#### **Operational setting:**

- A tester is working with an **untrusted** quantum device that generates a quantum state upon request
- Guarantee: either  $\mathcal{N}$  (the bad case) or  $\mathcal{M}$  (the good case)



Environmental system of the channel

**Internal memory** correlates with the generated samples

#### **Operational setting:**

A tester is working with an **untrusted** quantum device that generates a quantum state upon request



 $E_i$  environmental systems,  $R_i$  internal memories,  $P_i/Q_i$  internal operations by adversary

Due to the lack of knowledge of what the adversary do:

- $\mathscr{A}_n$  if device is  $\mathscr{N}$ ;
- $\mathscr{B}_n$  if device is  $\mathscr{M}$

#### **Adaptive strategies** by adversary

#### **Operational setting:**

A tester is working with an untrusted quantum device that generates a quantum state upon request



 $E_i$  environmental systems,  $R_i$  internal memories,  $P_i/Q_i$  internal operations by adversary

Due to the lack of knowledge of what the adversary do:

- $\mathscr{A}'_n$  if device is  $\mathscr{N}$ ;
- $\mathscr{B}'_n$  if device is  $\mathscr{M}$

# Non-adaptive strategies by adversary

#### The best performance of the tester playing against the adversary is given by:



$$D^{\inf}(\mathcal{M} \| \mathcal{M}) := \inf_{\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}} D(\mathcal{N}(\rho) \| \mathcal{M}(\sigma))$$

Adaptive strategies offer **no advantage** over non-adaptive ones in adversarial quantum channel discrimination.

#### **Good news for the tester!** 36

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log \beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}'_{n}||\mathscr{B}'_{n}) = D^{\inf,\infty}(\mathscr{N}||\mathscr{M})$$
-adaptive strategies
dversary
Minimum output
quantum channel divergence

$$D^{\inf,\infty}(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{M}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D^{\inf}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n} \| \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n})$$

The best performance of the tester playing against the adversary is given by:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}_{n} \| \mathscr{B}_{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty}$$

**Key technical tool (chain rule):** 

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \beta_{\varepsilon}(\mathscr{A}'_{n} \| \mathscr{B}'_{n}) = D^{\inf, \infty}(\mathscr{N} \| \mathscr{M})$$

- $D_{M,\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B}(\rho_{RA}) \| \mathcal{M}_{A\to B}(\sigma_{RA})) \ge D_{M,\alpha}(\rho_R \| \sigma_R) + D_{M,\alpha}^{\inf}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B} \| \mathcal{M}_{A\to R})$
- $D_{S,\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B}(\rho_{RA})\|\mathcal{M}_{A\to B}(\sigma_{RA})) \geq D_{S,\alpha}(\rho_{R}\|\sigma_{R}) + D_{S,\alpha}^{\inf,\infty}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B}\|\mathcal{M}_{A\to B})$

#### **Application 3: a relative entropy accumulation theorem**



How entropy accumulate for sequential operations on a state? [Dupuis, Fawzi, Renner, 2020, CMP] Find plenty of applications in quantum cryptography

$$H_{\max}^{\varepsilon}(B_1...B_n | C_1...C_n)_{\mathcal{N}_n^{\circ}...\mathcal{N}_1(\rho_{R_0})} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{\omega_{R_{i-1}}} H(B_i | C_i)_{\mathcal{N}_i(\omega)} + O(\sqrt{n})$$

#### How to generalize from conditional entropy to relative entropy? Open question in [Metger, Fawzi, Sutter, Renner, 2022, FOCS] for $D_{\max,\varepsilon}$

38

#### **Application 3: a relative entropy accumulation theorem**

How entropy accumulate for sequential operations on a state? [Dupuis, Fawzi, Renner, 2020, CMP] Find plenty of applications in quantum cryptography

$$H_{\max}^{\varepsilon}(B_1...B_n \mid C_1...C_n)_{\mathcal{N}_n \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{N}_1(\rho_{R_0})} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{\omega_{R_{i-1}}} H(B_i \mid C_i)_{\mathcal{N}_i(\omega)} + O(\sqrt{n})$$

How to generalize from conditional entropy to relative entropy? Open question in [Metger, Fawzi, Sutter, Renner, 2022, FOCS] for  $D_{\max,\varepsilon}$ 

Our answer  

$$D_{H,\varepsilon}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{R_{n}} \circ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}_{i}(\rho_{R_{0}}) \left\| \operatorname{Tr}_{R_{n}} \circ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}_{i}(\sigma_{R_{0}}) \right\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} D^{\inf,\infty}(\operatorname{Tr}_{R_{i}} \circ \mathcal{N}_{i} \| \operatorname{Tr}_{R_{i}} \circ \mathcal{M}_{i}) - O(n^{2/3}\log n)$$

Recover with a slightly weaker second order



#### **Application 4: efficient bounds for quantum resource theory**

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact; (A.2) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant; (A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

#### If (A.4) is not directly satisfied, we do relaxation!!!

Note that  $D^{\infty}(\mathscr{A}||\mathscr{B}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} -D(\mathscr{A}_n||\mathscr{B}_n)$  is efficiently computable  $n \rightarrow \infty n$ 

#### Improvement (even for the first level of approximation)

- Entanglement cost of quantum states and channels
- Entanglement distillation
- Magic state distillation



 $D^{\infty}(\rho_{AB} || \text{SEP}) \ge D^{\infty}(\rho_{AB} || \text{Rains})$ 

Refer to arXiv: 2502.15659 for more details





# Summary

### **Generalized quantum AEP** $\lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}}(\mathscr{A}_{n} \| \mathscr{B}_{n}) = D^{\infty}(\mathscr{A} \| \mathscr{B})$ $n \rightarrow \infty \ \mathcal{N}$

#### **Generality/efficiency/finite** *n* **estimate Technical tools (superadditivity & chain rule):**

(A.1) Each  $\mathscr{A}_n$  is convex and compact;  $D_{M,lpha}$ ( (A.2) Each  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is permutation-invariant; (A.3)  $\mathscr{A}_m \otimes \mathscr{A}_k \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{m+k}$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (A.4)  $(\mathscr{A}_m)^{\circ}_+ \otimes (\mathscr{A}_k)^{\circ}_+ \subseteq (\mathscr{A}_{m+k})^{\circ}_+$ , for all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

#### As AEP is in the heart of information theory, we expect further studies and applications.

Already been used in [2502.02563] by Argand and Tan for quantum cryptography

$$(\mathscr{A}_{12} \| \mathscr{B}_{12}) \ge D_{M,\alpha}(\mathscr{A}_1 \| \mathscr{B}_1) + D_{M,\alpha}(\mathscr{A}_2 \| \mathscr{B}_2)$$

 $D_{M,\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B}(\rho_{RA}) \| \mathcal{M}_{A\to B}(\sigma_{RA})) \geq D_{M,\alpha}(\rho_{R} \| \sigma_{R}) + D_{M,\alpha}^{\inf}(\mathcal{N}_{A\to B} \| \mathcal{M}_{A\to B})$ 

41



# I am hiring

### **One Brand, Two Campuses**



香港中文大學 The Chinese University of Hong Kong



香港中文大學(深圳) The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



Looking for postdocs, PhDs, research assistants...

Quantum Information Theory, Quantum Computation

#### kunfang.info





# Thanks for your attention!

#### arXiv: 2411.04035 & 2502.15659



